Chemical characterization of fine particulate matter, source apportionment and long-range transport clusters in Thohoyandou, South Africa
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Abstract
This paper presents a chemical characterization of fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) in air masses passing through Thohoyandou and further determines their sources. PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected and quantified using the gravimetric method. X-ray fluorescence, smoke stain reflectometer, optical transmissometer and scanning electron microscopy - energy dispersive X-Ray spectroscopy were used to determine the chemical and morphological composition of the particulate matter. The source apportionment was done using principal component analysis while the HYSPLIT model was used to depict the long-range transport clusters. The mean of concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, soot, black carbon and UVPM were 10.9 μg/m$^3$, 0.69x10$^{-5}$ m$^{-1}$, 1.22 μg/m$^3$ and 1.40 μg/m$^3$, respectively. A total of 24 elements were detected in the PM$_{2.5}$ with Pd, Sn, Sb, Mg, Al, and Si being the dominant elements. SEM-EDS have shown the presence of irregular, flat and spherical particles which is associated with crustal material and industrial emissions. Source apportionment analysis revealed six major sources of PM$_{2.5}$ in Thohoyandou namely, crustal materials, industrial emissions, vehicular emissions, urban emissions, fossil fuel combustion and fugitive-Pd. Air parcels that pass through Thohoyandou were clustered into four groupings. The major pathways were from the SW Indian Ocean, Atlantic Ocean, and inland trajectories. Clusters from the ocean are associated with low concentration, while inland clusters are associated with high concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$. The PM$_{2.5}$ levels occasionally exceeded the daily WHO guideline (25 μg/m$^3$) in Thohoyandou and the sources of PM$_{2.5}$ extend beyond the borders. This study recommends that further studies need to be carried out to assess the health impacts of PM$_{2.5}$ in Thohoyandou.
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Introduction
Ambient air pollution is a major environmental health issue globally due to several health implications associated with various air pollutants (Olaniyan et al., 2015). Of all air pollutants fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) is of major concern since it is linked to a number of health implications including premature death in adults with heart and lung disease, strokes, heart attacks, chronic respiratory disease such as bronchitis, aggravated asthma and premature deaths of children from acute lower respiratory infections such as pneumonia (CCAC, 2019). It is estimated that about 7 million people die prematurely every year as a result of exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ (WHO, 2014). The World Health Organization (WHO) has therefore set the daily guideline value of 25 μg/m$^3$ for PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in ambient air and further issued recommendations to countries to lower PM$_{2.5}$ levels in ambient air (WHO, 2016). In South Africa, the daily standard for PM$_{2.5}$ is set at 40 μg/m$^3$ which is much higher compared to the WHO daily guideline.

Valsamakis (2015) conducted a study in Johannesburg, South Africa during winter and spring of 2013 and 2014 and recorded PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations at Petrus Molefe Eco Park ranging from 18.1-61.2 μg/m$^3$ during spring and 62.9-126 μg/m$^3$ during winter;
Air pollution in South Africa also affects the neighboring countries (Swaziland, Lesotho, Mozambique, Zimbabwe and Botswana), with emissions from the Mpumalanga Highveld (Freiman and Piketh, 2002), while pollutants from Waterberg DM are anticipated to be transported and influence background concentrations in the North West Province (LEDET, 2016).

Although activities such as biomass burning, agricultural activities, construction and transportation are being carried out in Thohoyandou, no study has been conducted to quantify and characterize PM$_{2.5}$. These sources can be contributing to high PM$_{2.5}$ that are exceeding the standards and most likely to be detrimental to human health. Thus, this study is the first of its own kind in Limpopo. This study therefore aims at quantifying the PM$_{2.5}$ in Thohoyandou, Limpopo Province South Africa, the correlation between meteorological variables and PM$_{2.5}$ and further determines the chemical composition of quantified PM$_{2.5}$. Lastly the source apportionment of the contaminants as well as geographical origin of the air masses were determined using gravimetric method, principal component analysis and HYSPLIT model respectively.

Figure 1: A map showing the location of South Africa and Thohoyandou.

Methods

Study area

Sampling of PM$_{2.5}$ was undertaken on the roof of the School of Environmental Sciences building, University of Venda. Figure 1 demonstrates Thohoyandou in Limpopo province, South Africa. Limpopo shares borders with three countries, Mozambique, Zimbabwe, and Botswana, and they can also contribute to PM$_{2.5}$. The rainfall of Thohoyandou is highly seasonal, with most rainfall occurring during midsummer from December to February (Osidele, 2016). The monthly distribution of average daily maximum temperatures shows that the average midday temperatures for Thohoyandou range from 22°C to 26°C in winter and from 25°C to 40°C in January (Mzezewa et al., 2010). Activities that could result in particulate pollution in Thohoyandou include agricultural activities, construction, biomass burning, windblown dust and motor vehicles and long-
range sample transport as can be seen from Figure 1. The sampling site was selected to represent the urban background settings, since it is near potential PM$_{2.5}$ sources and the population of 1629.49/km$^2$ (SSA, 2012). The site was also chosen because it is close to the laboratory, and thus would minimize cross contamination. The coordinates of the sampling station are 22°58.650’S and 030°26.646’E. The height of the sampling point was 9m above ground and this was chosen as to avoid overloading the filters with crustal material at ground level, and hindrance from other buildings.

Ambient air sampling
The single channel GilAir-5 personal air samplers (Sensidyne, Schauenburg Electronic Technologies Group, Mulheim-Ruhr, Germany) and 37 mm PTFE membrane filters (Zefon International, Florida, USA) (Figure 2) were used for sampling PM$_{2.5}$ on a 24 hour period from April 2017 to April 2018 on a 3 day interval using Teflon filters 37 mm (Zefon International, Florida, USA). Filters were conditioned under climate-controlled conditions (Temperature: 20.1-22.0°C, RH: 43-54%) for 24 hours before weighing with a Mettler Toledo balance (Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland). A wavelength-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (WD-XRF) spectrometer (PANALYTICAL AXIOS$^{TM}$) prior sampling. After sampling filters were removed and conditioned under the same climate-controlled conditions before weighing and stored in a freezer after weighing waiting for analysis. The pumps were calibrated using GilAir calibrator, the flow rate of 4L/ min was checked prior and after sampling using a field rotameter. Gravimetric analyses (See appendix 1) were conducted at the University of Pretoria. The total of 122 samples were collected and analysed.

Figure 2: Sampling train on the rooftop showing the GilAir pumps, cyclone, and filter cassette.

Physicochemical characterization of PM$_{2.5}$
Soot measurement was performed using an EEL43 reflectometer at University of Pretoria. Black carbon (BC) and Ultraviolet absorbing particulate matter (UVPM) were analyzed using a model OT21 optical transmissometer (Magee Scientific Corp. Berkeley, California, USA) at University of Gothenburg, Sweden. A wavelength-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (WD-XRF) spectrometer (PANALYTICAL AXIOS$^{TM}$) at North-West University was used to analyze the elemental composition of the collected filters in two seasons (summer and autumn). The morphology and elemental analysis of PM$_{2.5}$ was performed with a FEI Quanta 250 scanning electron microscope with an integrated electron dispersion spectroscope microanalysis system at North-West University.

Determination of source apportionment and long-range transport clusters
Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with VARIMAX rotation was applied to the data of elemental concentrations to identify the main emission sources of elements in measured fine particulate matter. PCA was conducted in IBM SPSS statistics 26. Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model developed by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Air Resources Laboratory (NOAA ARL) (Draxler and Rolph, 2003), driven by the National Center for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) Global Reanalysis. Meteorological Data at the web server of the NOAA ARL was used to determine the transport trajectory of air parcels at the sampling site (Molnar et al, 2017). Daily trajectories were calculated for 72 h backwards. An analysis field (resolution 2.5° x 2.5° and 17 vertical levels) was provided every 6 h and the wind field was interpolated linearly between each analysis (Molnar et al, 2017). 12 trajectories were calculated daily in different height (250, 500, 750m), with 4 trajectories per height at different time interval (0, 6, 12, 18h). Since a single backward trajectory has a large uncertainty and is of limited significance, an ensemble of trajectories was used in this study. The cluster analysis was conducted seasonally due to the limitation of using very large sample sizes in the clustering function of the HYSPLIT software, as done in other studies (Tang et al., 2014; Molnar et al., 2017; Adeyemi, 2020). A total of 4384 backward trajectories were generated for the 365 days and applied in the cluster analysis. The clustering algorithm coupled in HYSPLIT was based on the distance between a trajectory endpoint and the corresponding cluster mean endpoint. Seasonal clusters and the whole year clusters were made. Each daily trajectory was assigned to a cluster, daily measured pollutants concentration was matched with cluster assigned to the corresponding daily trajectory and the descriptive statistics were measured for each cluster.

Data analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS statistics 26.

Sampler quality assurance
To ensure data quality all aspects of air quality monitoring were subjected to recognized procedures to ensure standardization, conformity in approach so that the resultant data are representative and comparable. All the equipment used were checked, cleaned and calibrated according to manufacturer’s specifications prior to each sampling and weighing session. To ensure quality control the filters were weighed and loaded in a clean lab with controlled environmental conditions. To avoid contamination weighed filter papers were placed in petri-dishes, during transportation the filters were sealed with a sellotape and placed in the ziplock bag inside a box filled with papers to ensure that there is no movement.
Results and discussions

PM$_{2.5}$, Soot, Black carbon and UVPM analysis

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of PM$_{2.5}$, soot, black carbon and UVPM determined throughout the sampling period (April 2017–April 2018). The PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations ranged from 1.06 to 37.52 µg/m$^3$ with an average of 10.9 µg/m$^3$. The PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations exceeded the daily WHO guideline of 25 µg/m$^3$ on 9 occasions. However, the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were found to be within the South African National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) of 40 µg/m$^3$ (See appendix 3). Seasons were defined as followed: Autumn (18/4/2017 to 31/5/2017 and 1/3/2018 to 16/4/2018), winter (1/6/2017 to 31/8/2017), spring (1/9/2017 to 30/11/2017) and summer (1/12/2017 to 28/2/2018). The highest mean of PM$_{2.5}$ level was observed in spring and four exceedances of the daily WHO guideline were recorded, followed by autumn with two exceedances, while the highest mean levels of soot and BC was observed in winter followed by spring (Table 1). For UVPM, the highest mean level was observed in autumn followed by winter.

High concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ in spring is attributed to biomass burning prior to the onset of rainfall during September, which is followed by agricultural activities after the first rainfall in October and November resulting in daily WHO guideline exceedances. Biomass burning and agricultural activities have been identified as major contributors to PM$_{2.5}$ (DEA, 2009; Engelbrecht et al., 2002; DEA, 2012; Petkova et al., 2013; Hersey et al., 2015; Valsamakis, 2015; DEA, 2016; LEDET, 2016). High concentrations measured in winter are attributed to household warming during June and July and the prevalence of stable conditions in these months also contributes to the increase in concentrations. Stable conditions occur with little to no rainfall and calmer winds, as was observed in June and July (See Appendix 2). This results in longer atmospheric residence times of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ chemical composition due to limited wet deposition and high accumulation of the aerosol properties (Perrino et al., 2011; Valsamakis, 2015). Low PM$_{2.5}$, soot, BC and UVPM levels in summer and autumn was due to unstable weather conditions. Unstable conditions are associated with high rainfall, increased wind speed. These conditions increase wet deposition and dispersion of PM$_{2.5}$, soot, BC and UVPM leading to low concentrations and less exceedances of the daily WHO guideline. Dependence on public transport during summer, and no household warming reduces PM$_{2.5}$, soot, BC and UVPM levels.

Correlation between PM$_{2.5}$ and meteorological variables

Table 2 presents the correlation coefficients and the corresponding p-values for the whole study period. Relative humidity and rainfall played a major role in decreasing PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, due to the significant correlation. Relative humidity and rainfall decrease the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ as deduced from negative correlation. PM$_{2.5}$ decreases with high relative humidity due to hygroscopic growth (Wang and Ogawa, 2015) rendering the particles to be too heavy to stay suspended in the atmosphere, also particulate matter act as condensation nuclei, during cloud formation as humidity increases. Significant negative relationship with rainfall, is due to wet deposition as atmospheric washout takes place when rainfall increases. High relative humidity and rainfall were measured in summer and autumn when compared to winter and spring and this negative relationship explains why winter and spring had high concentrations compared to summer and autumn. Wind speed was positively correlated with PM$_{2.5}$. Prevailing wind transports PM$_{2.5}$ from nearby sources to the receptor, and thus, as wind speed increases the PM$_{2.5}$ increases.

Temperature has shown an insignificant negative correlation. A study by Wang and Ogawa (2015) has also shown that high temperatures hindered formation of particles in summer. According to Tai et al., (2010) sulfate concentrations are expected to increase with increasing temperatures due to faster SO$_2$ oxidation, while semi-volatile components such as nitrates and organics are expected to decrease as they shift from particle phase to gas phase at high temperatures. Temperature has a decreasing and an increasing effect; in the case of Thohoyandou

| Table 1: Descriptive statistics for seasonal variation of PM$_{2.5}$, soot, BC and UVPM, seasonally and for the entire sampling period. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | MEAN | MEDIAN | SD | MIN | MAX |
| PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$) |
| Autumn            | 10.41 | 9.25  | 7.17 | 1.35 | 33.57 |
| Winter            | 9.83  | 7.53  | 8.10 | 1.18 | 37.53 |
| Spring            | 14.69 | 14.44 | 9.53 | 1.06 | 31.33 |
| Summer            | 8.64  | 6.42  | 7.22 | 1.83 | 34.28 |
| Annual            | 10.89 | 8.10  | 8.29 | 1.06 | 37.53 |
| SOOT (m$^3$ 10$^{-3}$) |
| Autumn            | 0.60  | 0.39  | 0.49 | 0.03 | 1.50 |
| Winter            | 0.78  | 0.53  | 0.66 | 0.14 | 2.40 |
| Spring            | 0.75  | 0.57  | 0.70 | 0.05 | 2.79 |
| Summer            | 0.63  | 0.66  | 0.34 | 0.12 | 1.47 |
| Annual            | 0.69  | 0.60  | 0.56 | 0.03 | 2.79 |
| BC (µg/m$^3$)     |
| Autumn            | 1.29  | 1.23  | 0.67 | 0.13 | 2.60 |
| Winter            | 1.49  | 1.10  | 1.24 | 0.03 | 4.97 |
| Spring            | 1.34  | 1.01  | 1.37 | 0.06 | 5.61 |
| Summer            | 0.74  | 0.59  | 0.78 | 0.11 | 4.07 |
| Annual            | 1.22  | 0.81  | 1.09 | 0.03 | 5.61 |
| UVPFM (µg/m$^3$)  |
| Autumn            | 1.64  | 1.39  | 0.87 | 0.15 | 3.18 |
| Winter            | 1.55  | 1.33  | 0.98 | 0.10 | 3.80 |
| Spring            | 1.44  | 1.27  | 1.13 | 0.08 | 4.78 |
| Summer            | 0.97  | 0.95  | 0.53 | 0.36 | 3.08 |
| Annual            | 1.40  | 1.19  | 0.93 | 0.08 | 4.78 |
it had a decreasing effect due to high temperatures. This can be seen from high concentration in winter where low temperature was measured and low concentrations in summer where there was high temperature.

Table 2: Correlation coefficients of PM$_{2.5}$, chemical composition and meteorological variables in Thohoyandou from 18 April 2017 to 16 April 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All year</th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$ (μg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>Soot (m$^{-1}$10$^{-5}$)</th>
<th>BC (μg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>UVPM (μg/m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>r = -0.06</td>
<td>r = -0.04</td>
<td>r = -0.20</td>
<td>r = -0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity (%)</td>
<td>r = -0.26</td>
<td>r = -0.40</td>
<td>r = -0.35</td>
<td>r = -0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed (m/s)</td>
<td>r = 0.18</td>
<td>r = -0.04</td>
<td>r = -0.18</td>
<td>r = -0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation (mm)</td>
<td>r = -0.23</td>
<td>r = -0.21</td>
<td>r = -0.393</td>
<td>r = -0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value less that 0.05 is significant and greater than 0.05 is considered insignificant.

NS = Not significant. *= Significant. **= Very significant. ***= Extremely significant. Bold text: p-value significant

Chemical composition analysis

Elemental composition

Figure 3 and 4 presents the seasonal variations of elements detected in PM$_{2.5}$ in the air mass passing through Thohoyandou, in summer and autumn respectively. For each season two graphs were plotted one with high elemental concentrations and one with low elemental concentrations. The transition metals (Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, W, Zr, Au and Pd), alkali earth metals (Rb and Na), alkaline earth metals (Mg and Ca), other metals (Al, Bi, Ga and Sn), semi metals (Si, Ge, Sb and As), non-metals (S and Se) and halogens (I) were detected in the particulate matter (See appendix 4). In summer, 24 elements were detected and decreased monthly throughout the season. Higher concentrations of Na, Sb, Pd and Sn were measured followed by Mg, Si and S (Fig 3a). High concentrations of Na can be attributed to gusty winds and torrential rain brought by tropical cyclones from Indian ocean in summer. The elements with high concentrations are associated with long range transport (Pd, Sn, Sb) from the igneous bushveld complex, followed by the elements associated with crustal materials (Mg, Al, Si) and partly elements from industrial activities (Cu, As, Co, Ni). The low concentrations of elements associated with crustal materials can be explained by the fact that the sampling period was also associated with rainfall, and thus the soil re-suspension was suppressed, and the dust was not easily suspended from the surface to become airborne while for industrial activities is due to limited industrial activities in Thohoyandou. The decrease in high concentration of the trace metals as the sampling period progresses is attributed to the fact that the beginning of the sampling was associated with unstable conditions,
which facilitates dispersions and thus the high concentrations of these trace metals are highly dispersed. In autumn the high concentrations were Pd < Sn < S < Si. The concentrations of elements in autumn has decreased (Figure 4) this is attributed to increase in rainfall in this season which has scavenging properties. The concentrations of elements associated with crustal materials (Ca, Si, Mg) and Na significantly decreased in autumn this is because rainfall increased although the tropical cyclone season has passed, while Sn and Pd remained high as compared to other elements. Cr and Mn increased from February to April, the increase in these elements from industrial activities in autumn is attributed to change in backward trajectories which was dominated by Indian ocean origin in summer, wherein autumn has 25% backward trajectories originating from Zimbabwe picking up particles from industrial activities. A study by Hsu et al., (2016) has observed an abundance of Al followed by Ca, Mg, Fe and Zn in PM$_{2.5}$. This trend can be justified by the high rainfall during the sampling season, which suppress the soil and prevent dust re-suspension.

Morphological Analysis

Figure 5(a) depicts the micrographs of particulate matter collected during 2017/2018 sampling period. In this study different kinds of morphologies were observed irregular, flat, and spherical (Figure 5a). Particles collected are clearly visible in the micrographs. A variety of particles shapes were observed from nearly perfect spherical shape to irregular shapes. The morphologies are consistent with the study by Li et al., (2019), wherein they observed irregular, flocculent, flat, rectangular, spheroidal, spherical, and regular particles. The shape of the particles is mainly depended on the source of the particles. Morphology and composition of these particles as done in previous studies (Van Zyl et al., 2014; Satsangi and Yadav, 2014) can help in identification of their sources. According to Van Zyl et al., (2014) the shapes of particles can be used to distinguish between windblown particles and anthropogenic particles. Well-rounded shapes are associated with anthropogenic sources, while unevenly shaped particles with natural sources. The particles in these micrographs are likely to be originating from natural emissions. As noted by Satsangi and Yadav (2014) PTFE filter is causing uncertainties because of its fiber structure observed from (Figure 5A).

In addition to visual inspection, EDS analysis was used to obtain the surface chemical composition. Figure 5b presents the micrograph of particulate matter and the subsequent chemical analysis of individual particles using EDS. The EDS as can be seen from the bar graphs (figure 5b) show the presence of Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Cl, K, Ti, Cr, Fe. Majority of these oxygen-rich elements (Na, Mg, Al, Si, Cl, K, Ti, Cr, Fe) have been associated with natural sources such as crustal minerals erosion and surface winds, natural burning and from the oceans (Van Zyl et al., 2014). Additionally, a non-metallic species S, was detected, which suggests anthropogenic source. Flat particles and irregular (Figure 5b; Spectrum 1 and 4 respectively) comprises of C, O, Na, Mg, Al, Si, Ti, and Ca, these particles represent the crustal material. This type of particle primarily contained Si, Al, O, which is the elemental composition associated with phyllosilicates (AlSi)$_3$O$_4$. Spherical particles (Figure 5b: Spectrum 2) comprise of C, O, Na, Mg, Si and K. High content of C and K, show that its organic material and it was formed during biomass burning. Spheroid particles (Figure 5b: Spectrum 3), has shown additional elements such as Fe and S these morphologies represent industrial emissions.
Sources of elemental composition

Figure 6 presents the principal component and the subsequent elements. Principal component analyses identified seven principal components with even eigenvalues > 1 that explained 84.70% of the variance in elemental composition sources (See Appendix 5). The last one was not included in the discussion because it had one element even though it explained 4.93% of the total variance. The first component is comprised of Na, Mg, Si, Al, Sb, S, Ca, Zr, and soot. Mg, Al, Si, Ca has been linked with crustal materials throughout the literature (Zhang et al., 2008; Xia and Gao, 2011; Santanna et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Cheng et al., 2016) and thus component one is showing emissions from crustal material i.e. dust resuspension. Crustal materials explained 24.21% of the total variance. The study conducted by (Mohammed et al., 2017; Sammaritano et al., 2018) this source had a high percentage of variance. Although this component has soot that has not been previously linked with crustal material in this study it was assigned mainly with crustal material because of the number of the elements associated with this component and the diversity of the source.

The second component is comprised of S, Ga, W, Bi, I, Ge, Au and Co. Zhang et al., (2008) linked S with coal combustion. Co has also been linked with combustion of fuel (Xia and Gao, 2011). W, Co, and Ga has been used in jewelry; Ga and Ge have been used in electrodes, while Bi and W has been used as alloys. This source has been attributed to industrial activities and has explained to 21.06% of the cumulative variance. The third component is comprised of Sb, soot, Co. Co has been linked with vehicular emissions (Rizzo and Scheff, 2007; Hsu et al., 2016) from batteries and gasoline. Sb was found to be from abrasion and brake wear (Xia and Gao, 2011) since it has been used in automotive brakepad. soot is known to originate from incomplete combustion of fossil fuel and thus, can be emitted from internal combustion engines more especially diesel engines through vehicles tailpipes. Vehicular emission explained 10.31% of total variance. The fourth component is comprised of Au, Cu, Rb, and Mn. Mn emissions are also linked to transportation activities such as tailpipe emissions, brake, and tire wear (Yu et al., 2013), refuse burning (Begum et al., 2007) resuspended soil dust (Cheng et al., 2015). Cu has been linked to industrial dust (Lee et al., 2008), vehicle exhaust (Yu et al., 2013) and brake wear. This source has been linked to urban emissions and contributed 9.56% of the total variance. The fifth component is comprised of Cr, BC, UVPM and Pd. BC has been linked with combustion processes (Engelbrecht et al., 2002). Combustion processes include fossil fuel combustion, diesel engine exhaust, as well as open biomass fires and household combustion (Chiloane et al., 2017). Cr has been linked to biomass burning (Yu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Some coal fired power stations are also located in Limpopo where fossil fuels are burned continuously to generate electricity, the use of firewood and agricultural burning also take place. This source has been attributed to a mixture of fossil fuel combustion and has contributed 8.76% of the total variance. The sixth component is comprised of Sb and Pd. This source has been attributed to fugitive Pd and explained 5.80% of the variance. Pd is emitted from mining activities in the bushveld igneous complex (Schouwstra et al., 2000). The approximate sources of PM\(_{2.5}\) in Thohoyandou during summer and fall are crustal materials re-suspension (24.21%), industrial emissions (21.06%) vehicular emission (10.31%), urban emission (9.56%); fossil fuel combustion (8.76%) and fugitive-Pd (5.80%).

Long range transport clusters

The clustered backward trajectories are presented in Figure 7 and the corresponding descriptive statistics per cluster are presented in Table 3. Four clusters were used in response to change in total spatial variance (TSV) as clusters are combined (Figure 7A). The clusters are from the Indian Ocean, Inland and recirculation. Cluster 2 (40%) and cluster 4 (6%) are associated with days of high PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations (Table 2). The high concentrations of these clusters can be explained by the fact that they are mainly inland, where there are many industrial activities. Cluster 2 is associated with air masses from Mozambique, although they occurred 40% of the time it was associated with days of high concentrations. Hersey, et al., (2015) observed that biomass burning emissions from Mozambique and Zimbabwe reach South Africa. A report by the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA, 2009) indicated that biomass burning accounts for 20-40% of PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration, and this explains the high concentration of PM\(_{2.5}\) associated with air parcels that pass-through Mozambique. Cluster 4 is associated with air parcels from Botswana. DEA, (2009) points that among the air parcels that reach South Africa, there is an Indian plume, Atlantic plume and African plume. It was found that the African plume was associated with high pollutants. This explains the high concentrations associated with cluster 4, which is associated with days that exceeded the daily WHO guideline. Cluster 1 and cluster 3 are of oceanic origin and concentrations were lower on these days. This is because there are fewer emissions over the oceans. Air parcels from the Indian Ocean bring low concentrations of pollutants (DEA, 2009). Cluster 3 spent a lot of time over the ocean and thus, no exceedance, while cluster 1 spent less time and passed through Mozambique this explains the exceedances associated with this cluster. The Mozambican cluster is once again associated with high concentrations of PM\(_{2.5}\). This emphasizes the high contribution of Mozambique to PM\(_{2.5}\).
concentration in South Africa and shows that there are major sources of PM$_{2.5}$ in Mozambique that affect the South African air quality that need to be evaluated.

Spring season (Figure 7B left) has 82% (Cluster 1 44%, cluster 2 20% and cluster 3 18%) of backward trajectories originating Indian ocean passing through Mozambique 20% (cluster 2) of the 88% pass through Zimbabwe before reaching South Africa and 18% (cluster 4) of backward trajectories originating form Indian ocean reaching Thohoyandou. Besides different industrial activities these 3 countries have similar meteorological conditions with summer rainfall and spring onset rains and thus, biomass burning an agricultural activity in spring from all these countries has resulted in high concentrations in spring. Winter season (Figure 7B right) had 55% (cluster 1) of the backward trajectories closer to the receptor. This explains the high concentrations measured in winter due to limited dispersion, 8% (cluster 2) of the backward trajectories were from Atlantic Ocean and passed through Lesotho and Mozambique before reaching South Africa. This is because south Africa also experiences Mediterranean climate, with cold fronts approaching from the west coast. And the reimaging 37% (cluster 3 and 4) originated from Indian ocean and passed through Mozambique before reaching the receptor.

Autumn season (Figure 7C left) had 69% (cluster 1 and 3) originating from Indian ocean passing through Mozambique, 6% (cluster 4) originating from Indian ocean and 25% originating from Zimbabwe reaching the receptor. Summer season (Figure 7C right) has 76% (cluster 1,3 and 4) of the clusters originating from Indian ocean passing through Mozambique, this explains the low concentrations measured in this season because tropical cyclones from Indian ocean not only control the prevailing winds but also brings the heavy rainfall with scavenging properties, and the remaining 24% cluster 2) originates in Mozambique pass through Zimbabwe before reaching South Africa. Precipitation has high decreasing effects, despite the similarities in the spring and summer cluster spring had the highest concentration measured while summer had the lowest concentrations.

**Conclusion**

This study presented the chemical characterization of PM$_{2.5}$ in Thohoyandou and further determined the sources of the PM$_{2.5}$ as well as the long-range clusters. The PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were found to be ranging from 1.06 µg/m$^3$ to 37.53 µg/m$^3$ with the mean concentrations of 10.89 µg/m$^3$ over the sampling period. The concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ was found to be higher than the daily WHO guideline on 9 occasions. The soot, UVPM and BC were found to be having an annual mean of 0.69 m$^{-1}$10$^{-5}$, 1.40 µg/m$^3$ and 1.22 µg/m$^3$ respectively. The elemental analysis revealed the dominance of Pd, Sb, Sn, Mg, Al, Si elements. The source apportionment suggested that the PM$_{2.5}$ could be originating from Crustal materials, industrial, vehicular, urban and biomass

**Table 3: Descriptive statistics of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ components per cluster.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cluster 1 (44%)</th>
<th>Cluster 2 (40%)</th>
<th>Cluster 3 (9%)</th>
<th>Cluster 4 (6%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>14.36</td>
<td>14.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soot (m$^{-1}$10$^{-5}$)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC (µg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVPM (µg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Autumn season (Figure 7C left) had 69% (cluster 1 and 3) originating from Indian ocean passing through Mozambique, 6% (cluster 4) originating from Indian ocean and 25% originating from Zimbabwe reaching the receptor. Summer season (Figure 7C right) has 76% (cluster 1,3 and 4) of the clusters originating from Indian ocean passing through Mozambique, this explains the low concentrations measured in this season because tropical cyclones from Indian ocean not only control the prevailing winds but also brings the heavy rainfall with scavenging properties, and the remaining 24% cluster 2) originates in Mozambique pass through Zimbabwe before reaching South Africa. Precipitation has high decreasing effects, despite the similarities in the spring and summer cluster spring had the highest concentration measured while summer had the lowest concentrations.
burning emissions. HYSPLIT model showed that air parcel that pass-through Thohoyandou varies seasonally from four to six clusters. The results obtained showed that the air mass passing through Thohoyandou could pose some health effects on communalities living in the area. As such the study recommends the epidemiological studies assessing the health effects of PM$_{2.5}$ in Thohoyandou and more routine monitoring of PM$_{2.5}$.
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Appendix

A1: Gravimetric analysis

A1.1 Weighing procedure: reference and sample filters

1. Download logged data from data-logging environmental monitor
2. Check if environmental conditions in the laboratory was maintained for the previous 24 hours within the prescribed limits
   - Dry air temperature = 21 ± 1.0°C
   - Relative humidity = 50 ±5%
3. Record environmental conditions immediately prior to weighing reference filters
4. Ensure balance is level
5. Weigh a mass of 2 grams repeatedly until repeatability is reached
6. Close balance doors and tare the balance
7. Open the balance doors and place three reference (control) filters on the weighing grid. Note: use 3 reference (control) filters for each 10 sample filters.
8. Close balance doors and start count down timer
9. Allow 30 seconds for balance to stabilize
10. Note reading on the balance immediately when settling time has expired
11. Remove reference (control) filters from the weighing chamber and hold it next to the weighing chamber. Take care not to breath over the filters
12. Close the balance door and wait for balance to return to zero
13. If balance does not return to zero:
   - Discard all weighing results
   - Inspect the balance pan for dust or any other obstacle
   - Tare the balance
   - Reweigh filters
   - Balance should not otherwise be tarred between weighing filters
14. Follow steps 7-13 to obtain 3 consecutive weights for the 3 reference (control) filters
15. Proceed to weigh 10 individual sample filters by following the above procedure (steps 7-13)
16. After weighing the 10 sample filters, weigh the 3 reference filters again using steps 7-13
17. Record environmental conditions immediately after weighing reference filters
18. If the maximum and minimum weight of reference filters differ more than 1% from average, discard results and re-weigh
19. Place weighed filters with a clearly marked support pad in a clean filter cassette holder

A1.2 Measuring concentrations

After sampling filters were conditioned for 24 hours and weighed under the same conditions.

Mass of the particulate matter was calculated using the same formulae:

\[ M_{pm} = (m_o - m_f) - m_b \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ M_{pm} = \text{mass of the particulate matter, } m_o = \text{initial mass of the filter, } m_f = \text{final mass of the filter, } m_b = \text{mass of the blank filter.} \]

The volume of air sampled was calculated using the following formulae:

\[ V_a = Q_{ave} \times t \times 10^{-3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ V_a = \text{volume of the air sampled, } Q_{ave} = \text{average flow rate, } t = \text{time elapsed.} \]

Then the concentration of PM_{2.5} was calculated using the following formula:

\[ \text{PM}_{2.5 \text{conc}} = \frac{M_{pm}}{V_a} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

EEL43 reflectometer was used to measure soot. Absorption coefficients (prosy for soot) was expressed in m^{-1}10^{-5} (SOP RUPIOH 4.0, 2002) calculated using the following formulae:

\[ \alpha = \left[ \left( \frac{A}{V} \right) \times \ln \left( \frac{R_o}{R_s} \right) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

(A-The loaded filter area, V-sampled volume, Ro-reflection of primary control filter, Rs-Reflectance of the sampled filter).

ATNIR and ATN UV were measured using a Model OT21 Optical Transmissometer (Magee Scientific Corp., Berkeley, California, USA). Thereafter used to calculate BC and UVPM using the following formulae;

\[ \text{BC Density} = \frac{\text{ATN IR}}{\Sigma_{\text{m}} \text{BC}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ \text{Total BC} = \text{density} \times \text{Area} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[ \text{BC} = \frac{\text{Total BC}}{V_a} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

\[ \text{UVPM Density} = \frac{\text{UV ATN}}{\Sigma_{\text{m}} \text{UV}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

\[ \text{Total UVPM} = \text{density} \times \text{Area} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

\[ \text{UVPM} = \frac{\text{Total UVPM}}{V_a} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The elemental mass concentration obtained from wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence (WD-XRF) spectrometer (PANALYTICAL AXIOSMAX) analysis given in µg.cm^{-2} and converted to µg.m^{-3} using the following formulae:

\[ EM = \frac{(EM_f - EM_b) A}{V_a} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

EM = elemental mass concentration, \( EM_f \) = elemental concentration of the exposed filter, \( EM_b \) = elemental concentration of blank filter.
A2: Meteorological variables for the whole study period April 2017- April 2018

A3: PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations against WHO guideline and NAAQS standards for the whole study period April 2017- April 2018

A4: Monthly average concentrations of the 24 detected elements (ng/m$^3$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>9.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A5: Principal component factor analysis